



**Ps. 19**





1. *In the beginning, God created... science!*

A. Gen. 1-2

B. God is the ruler over science

Job 38:4-7; Rom. 9:20

C. God, without science, is unchanged

Ps. 90:2; 50:10-13



## 2. Science without God

A. 1 Tim. 6:20-21; 1 Cor. 1:18-25

B. is the claim of some scientists

“The existence of God can’t be proven.

You can’t test God.”

“The existence of God can’t be proven.  
You can’t test God.”

“Science never proves anything.”

... “Due to the need to have completely controlled experiments to test a hypothesis science can not prove everything. For example, ideas about God and other supernatural beings can never be confirmed or denied, as no experiment exists that could test their presence.”

*Limitations of the Scientific Method* by Stephen Lower

[https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Analytical\\_Chemistry/Supplemental\\_Modules\\_\(Analytical\\_Chemistry\)/Quantifying\\_Nature/The\\_Scientific\\_Method/Science\\_vs.\\_Pseudo-science%3A\\_Limitations\\_of\\_the\\_Scientific\\_Method](https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Analytical_Chemistry/Supplemental_Modules_(Analytical_Chemistry)/Quantifying_Nature/The_Scientific_Method/Science_vs._Pseudo-science%3A_Limitations_of_the_Scientific_Method)



## 2. Science without God

A. 1 Tim. 6:20-21; 1 Cor. 1:18-25

B. is the claim of some scientists

C. is contradictory

“The existence of God can’t be proven.  
You can’t test God.”

- “Science never proves anything.”  
... “Due to the need to have completely controlled experiments to test a hypothesis science can not prove everything. For example, ideas about God and other supernatural beings can never be confirmed or denied, as no experiment exists that could test their presence.”

*Limitations of the Scientific Method* by Stephen Lower

[https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Analytical\\_Chemistry/Supplemental\\_Modules\\_\(Analytical\\_Chemistry\)/Quantifying\\_Nature/The\\_Scientific\\_Method/Science\\_vs.\\_Pseudo-science%3A\\_Limitations\\_of\\_the\\_Scientific\\_Method](https://chem.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Analytical_Chemistry/Supplemental_Modules_(Analytical_Chemistry)/Quantifying_Nature/The_Scientific_Method/Science_vs._Pseudo-science%3A_Limitations_of_the_Scientific_Method)

# Assumptions of modern science

- There are natural causes for things that happen in the world around us.
- Evidence from the natural world can be used to learn about those causes.
- There is consistency in the causes that operate in the natural world.

[https://undsci.berkeley.edu/article/howscienceworks\\_13](https://undsci.berkeley.edu/article/howscienceworks_13)

(University of California, Berkeley)



## 2. Science without God

A. 1 Tim. 6:20-21; 1 Cor. 1:18-25

B. is the claim of some scientists

C. is contradictory

D. is incomplete, neglects evidence 2 Pet. 1:19

E. is vanity Eccl. 1:16-18; 2:16



## Eccl. 1:16-18; 2:16 NKJV

I communed with my heart, saying, "Look, I have attained greatness, and have gained more wisdom than all who were before me in Jerusalem. My heart has understood great wisdom and knowledge." And I set my heart to know wisdom and to know madness and folly. I perceived that this also is grasping for the wind. For in much wisdom is much grief, and he who increases knowledge increases sorrow.)

...

For there is no more remembrance of the wise than of the fool forever, since all that now is will be forgotten in the days to come. And how does a wise man die? As the fool!



### 3. Science with God

A. is the only answer that considers all evidence

B. agree!

# Bill Nye (Nye-Ham Debate)

- “You asserted that life cannot come from something that’s not alive. Are you sure? Are you sure enough to say that we should not continue to look for signs of water and life on Mars, that that’s a waste? You’re sure enough to claim that? That is an extraordinary claim that we want to investigate. *Ham-Nye Debate transcript, p351*



# Bill Nye (Nye-Ham Debate)

- “And by the way, if you can find what we in science call a second genesis — this is to say, did life start another way on the earth — there are researchers at Astrobiology Institute, researchers supported by NASA, your tax dollars, that are looking for answers to that very question. Is it possible that life could start another way? Is there some sort of life form akin to science fiction that’s crystal instead of membranous? This would be a fantastic discovery that would change the world.” *Ham-Nye Debate transcript, p341*





### 3. Science with God

A. is the only answer that considers all evidence

B. agree!

C. has been the “rule” for most scientists,  
historically (Galileo, Pasteur, Bacon, Newton)

***Why the change today?***

***Skepticism of 1700s opened the door for. . .***

*“ . . . men who do not believe, and this would include my father, brother, and almost all my best friends, will be everlastingly punished. And this is a damnable doctrine”*

(The Autobiography of Charles Darwin)





### 3. Science with God

A. is the only answer that considers all evidence

B. agree!

C. has been the “rule” for most scientists,  
historically (Galileo, Pasteur, Bacon, Newton)

\* holes in the theory of evolution are being  
exposed by some scientists



*“Genetics might be adequate for explaining microevolution, but microevolutionary changes in gene frequency were not seen as able to turn a reptile into a mammal or to convert a fish into an amphibian. . . . The origin of species – Darwin’s problem – remains unsolved”*

- Scott Gilbert, John Opitz, and Rudolf Raff (1996) in "Resynthesizing Evolutionary and Developmental Biology," *Developmental Biology* 173, Article No. 0032, 1996, p. 361
- These authors believe the theory of evolution but reject longstanding explanations of the theory.



# dissentfromdarwin.com

→ ↻ [dissentfromdarwin.org](https://dissentfromdarwin.org)

[About](#) [Scientists](#) [FAQ](#) [Download](#) [Resources](#) [Contact](#) [Donate](#)



**“We are skeptical of claims for the ability of random mutation and natural selection to account for the complexity of life. Careful examination of the evidence for Darwinian theory should be encouraged.”**



### 3. Science with God

- A. is the only answer that considers all evidence
- B. agree
- C. has been the “rule” for most scientists, historically
- D. Ps. 19

v1-4 science is a blessing from God

v5-6 learn all we can of what God has done

v7-11 learn all we can about what God has said

v12-14 our dependency on God, willing to serve



Who is God  
without science?



What is science  
without God?



# **“What Must I Do To Be Saved?”**

**Hear The Gospel (Rom. 10:17)**

**Believe In Christ (Jn. 8:24)**

**Repent Of Sins (Acts 2:38)**

**Confess Christ (Rom. 10:10)**

**Be Baptized (1 Pet. 3:21)**

## **For The Erring Child:**

**Repent (Acts 8:22), Confess (1 Jn. 1:9),  
Pray (Acts 8:22)**